

PII: S0040-4020(97)10066-7

# The Synthesis of Bicyclic *ortho*-Quinodimethanes from Tricyclic Sulfones

Terrence J. Connolly\* and Tony Durst\*

Department of Chemistry University of Ottawa 10 Marie Curie Ottawa, Canada K1N 6N5

Abstract: The first synthesis of bicyclic ortho-quinodimethanes from tricyclic sulfone precursors has been achieved. The required sulfones, 3,4,5,6-tetrahydro-1H-cyclohex[cd]benzothiophene-2,2-dioxide and 1,3,4,5,6,7-hexahydrocyclohept[cd]benzothiophene-2,2-dioxide, were prepared from  $\alpha$ -tetralone and benzosuberone utilizing a ring closure proceeding through a sulfonium salt intermediate. The same strategy failed when trying to prepare the tricyclic sulfone of the indane series. Other contrasting reactivity was also noticed when comparing the ability and ease of formation of tricyclic ether compounds. These results indicate that the later ring system is considerably strained.

© 1997 Elsevier Science Ltd.

Postulated as an intermediate species over forty years ago, 1 ortho-quinodimethane 1 and its derivatives have been the subject of numerous studies and reviews. 2.3 Although there are many ways to generate these transient species, chelotropic extrusion of sulfur dioxide from cyclic sulfones continues to be a popular method. Recent reports in the literature have focused primarily on the synthesis of bicyclic sulfones derived from heterocyclic systems, led primarily by Storr 4-9 and others 10-12 affording ortho-quinodimethanes of type 2. There have, however, been few reports of ortho-quinodimethanes derived from the indole nucleus. Pindur et al 13,14 have reported a number of methods to generate 3 and the results of cycloadditions with a variety of dienophiles. Magnus and coworkers 15 have used intermediates of type 3 in their synthesis of the aspidosperma type alkaloids. To the best of our knowledge, there are no reports dealing with compounds of type 4. Recent work in our laboratory has caused us to consider these as potential intermediates.

In a study directed towards the synthesis of ergot alkaloids (5), shown retrosynthetically in Scheme 1, we were intrigued with the possibility of using an *ortho*-quinodimethane (6) to construct the tetracyclic core of tetrahydrolysergine 5. Central to this approach was the employment of sulfone 7 as the masked *ortho*-quinodimethane. It was anticipated that introduction of the required tether would be accomplished via conjugate addition of the anion derived from sulfone 7 to receptor 8. Thermal elimination of sulfur dioxide would

provide bicyclic *ortho*-quinodimethane 6, which, after intramolecular cycloaddition, would afford the tetrahydroergoline skeleton 5. Studies were therefore initiated towards the synthesis of 7.

#### Scheme 1

Initially, we believed that sulfone 7 could be derived from sulfide 9. It was expected that activation of the 4-position of a 3-thioalkylindoline as shown in 10 would provide sulfonium salt 11, dealkylation of which would afford sulfide 9.

#### Scheme 2

However, this sulfonium salt strategy was hampered by the instability of 3-alkylthioindolines. Although 12 could be prepared from oxindole 13, the ester group of 12 could not be reduced prior to desulfenylation. Treatment of 12 with mild reducing agents led to the formation of indole 14 prior to reduction of the ester group. 3-Alkylthioindolines undergo a facile elimination of the thiolate group, presumably through intermediate 15, resulting in the formation of indole 14. Evidence that this transformation was not base promoted came from the observation that 12 led to 14 even standing at room temperature.

#### Scheme 3

Although other routes to 7 can be envisaged, similar problems led us to investigate a more fundamental question: can tricyclic sulfones of the type 16-18 be easily prepared, and does sulfur dioxide extrusion to afford *ortho*-quinodimethanes 19 occur at readily accessible temperatures?

SO<sub>2</sub> 
$$\Delta$$
,?  
16; n=1  
17; n=2  
18; n=3

The synthesis of sulfone 17 was accomplished as shown below (Scheme 4). Reduction of hydroxy acid 20<sup>17</sup> with lithium aluminum hydride in tetrahydrofuran afforded diol 21 in 77% yield. Addition of zinc(II) iodide to a methylene chloride solution of diol 21 containing a slight excess of the appropriate thiol led to hydroxy-sulfides 22a-c in yields ranging from 82-88%. Formation of sulfide 23 was best accomplished by reaction of the hydroxy-sulfide with 1.5 equivalents of sodium iodide and para-toluenesulfonic acid. Although sulfides 22a-c all afforded the desired tricyclic sulfide, sulfide 22b (PMB = para-methoxybenzyl) afforded sulfide 23 most efficiently. Sulfide 23, an odoriferous dark green oil, was immediately oxidized to sulfone 17 in 73% yield using the method reported by Sharpless. 18

#### Scheme 4

The sulfone 18 was prepared by an analogous sequence as shown in Scheme 5. Ortho-metallation of benzosuberanol 24, carboxylation and subsequent reduction with lithium aluminum hydride afforded diol 25. Direct conversion of diol 25 to hydroxy-sulfide 27 was curtailed by formation of the tricyclic ether, even when conducted in the presence of a large excess of thiol. Acetylation of the primary hydroxyl group of 25 at low temperature (0 °C) followed by ionization of the secondary benzylic alcohol of 26 in the presence of paramethoxybenzyl mercaptan (PMBSH) provided sulfide 28 directly in a yield of 50% from alcohol 26. Oxidation, as discussed for 17, afforded sulfone 18 in 87% yield.

## Scheme 5

Some problems were encountered when this sequence was applied to diol 29 (Scheme 6). Although formation of the hydroxy-sulfide 31 was straightforward from diol 29, attempted ring closure resulted in complex reaction mixtures, with no evidence in support of the formation of 32. In contrast to the facile ring closure of 22 and 27 which occurred cleanly, reaction of 31 resulted in complex reaction mixtures. This anomalous result seems to indicate that 32 is considerably strained, or geometry limitations do not allow for the nucleophile, electrophilic carbon and leaving group to align with the trajectory necessary for an S<sub>N</sub>2 displacement.

#### Scheme 6

To probe the question of ring strain in tricycle 32, molecular mechanics calculations were carried out using the Sybyl force field.<sup>19</sup> Comparing the results (Table 1) of the series of sulfides shows that the ring system in 32 is subject to considerably more strain than either 23 or 28. Although the slight increase in strain as the ring size of the fused ring changes from six to seven is expected (23 to 28), the dramatic increase in strain energy for sulfides 32 as compared to 23 may explain the failure of forming 32 using the methodology outlined above (Scheme 6).

Table 1 - Calculated Strain Energy for Sulfides 23, 28 and 32 and Tricycle I

	Sulfide 32	Sulfide 23	Sulfide 28	Tricycle I
Strain Energy	17.91kcal/mol	6.25 kcal/mol	10.26 kcal/mol	26.95 kcal/mol

It is interesting to note, however, that Rapoport has prepared I over 40 years ago.<sup>20</sup> The successful synthesis of I, a ring system that is much more strained than sulfide 32 on the basis of molecular mechanic calculations, suggests that 32 can be prepared. Instead, we favor the argument that the  $S_N2$  reaction, as outlined in Scheme 6, is not a viable route to 32 due to a geometry limitation imposed by the tethered five-membered ring. In order for an  $S_N2$  reaction to be successful, the nucleophile, electrophilic center and leaving group must align in a 180° path. This geometry is not be accessible in systems like 31 due to the rigidity of the system caused by the presence of the fused five-membered ring.

The trend of forming 6-6-5 and 6-7-5 tri-fused ring systems but failing to form a 6-5-5 system was not limited to these sulfides. A similar trend was noticed for tricyclic lactone formation (Scheme 7). Orthometallation of benzosuberanol followed by quenching with carbon dioxide provided a lactone (37) that could not

be converted to hydroxy acid 36 in our hands. The same sequence with  $\alpha$ -tetralol as starting material afforded the hydroxy acid (20) that we and others<sup>17</sup> have successfully lactonized to 34. However, hydroxy-acid 30 formed indenecarboxylic acid 33 rather than lactone 35 when treated with *para*-toluenesulfonic acid in tetrahydrofuran.<sup>21</sup>

#### Scheme 7

This trend also applied to the ease of tricyclic ether formation (Scheme 8). In the case of diol 25, ether formation was so favorable that the intermediate benzylic cation generated via ionization of the secondary benzylic alcohol with Lewis acids could not be trapped by external nucleophiles. This occurred when trying to prepare hydroxy-sulfides, as discussed above, and also when attempting an ionic hydrogenation with triethylsilane.<sup>22</sup> Even when using triethylsilane as the solvent, and adding either trifluoroacetic acid, borontrifluoride etherate or zinc (II) iodide at low temperatures, only ether 39 was formed. However, ionization of diol 29 in the absence of nucleophiles did not lead to formation of tricyclic ether 40. Once again, complex reaction mixtures were the result. The failure to form 35 is quite surprising since the reaction takes place via a S<sub>N</sub>1 mechanism and the rational based on geometry limitations breaks down. In this case, the p orbital of the benzylic cation cannot align with the hydroxyl group in a manner favorable for bonding.

#### Scheme 8

Having successfully prepared two new tricyclic sulfones 17 and 18, their reactivity with a typical dienophile, dimethylacetylene dicarboxylate (DMAD), was studied (Scheme 9). Although no reaction was noted when 17 and DMAD were heated at reflux in xylene, or in toluene in a sealed tube at 250 °C for up to 12 hours, it was found that when either 17 or 18 was heated with excess DMAD in the absence of solvent at 250-320 °C for 15-20 minutes under air, cycloaddition did occur. The intermediate dihydronaphthalenes 41 and 43 were oxidized under the reaction conditions to provide the naphthalenes 42 and 44. Both compounds, isolated in yields of 65 and 15% respectively on purification by column chromatography, were identical to compounds prepared via an alternative route.<sup>23</sup> The lower yield of 44 compared to 42 is probably a reflection of the fact

that the intermediate *ortho*-quinodimethane is distorted. We have previously shown that a bicyclic *ortho*-quinodimethane in which one arm of the diene is tied back in a seven membered ring is not flat.<sup>23</sup> This deviation from planarity presumably makes the extrusion of SO<sub>2</sub> a higher energy pathway. Even under the harsh conditions employed for the reaction of 18 and DMAD (320 °C, 20 minutes), some starting sulfone 18 was still recovered.

#### Scheme 9

SO<sub>2</sub> 
$$\triangle$$
, DMAD  $CO_2Me$   $CO_2Me$ 

In conclusion, we have prepared two new tricyclic sulfones which have unprecedented ring systems and have generated for the first time, bicyclic ortho-quinodimethanes from tricyclic sulfones. The failure of diol 29 to form either the tricyclic ether 35 or the tricyclic sulfide 32, and the inability to convert 30 to lactone 38 indicate that this tricyclic ring system is considerably strained and simple  $S_N1$  and  $S_N2$  reactions cannot overcome this barrier. However, 6,6,5- and 6,7,5-tricyclic fused ring systems, represented by 17 and 18 respectively, are readily accessible using this method.

#### Experimental

#### General

All reactions were conducted under an inert atmosphere in flame-dried glassware that had been cooled under a stream of dry nitrogen. Melting points were determined on a Fisher-Johns Unimelt melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were recorded with a Bomem MB 101 FT-IR spectrometer with solution cells using the highest grade of methylene chloride available. Proton and carbon nuclear magnetic resonance were recorded on either a Bruker AMX-500, Varian XL-300 or Varian Gemini 200 operating at frequencies of 500, 300 and 200 MHz for proton and 125, 75 and 50 MHz for carbon, using the specified solvent and are reported relative to TMS = 0.00 ppm. Low and high resolution mass spectra were recorded on a VG 7070 mass spectrometer or a Concept II instrument. Peak intensities are reported as a percentage of the base peak.

The phrase "usual work up" refers to drying the solvent over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, filtering and removing the solvent under reduced pressure using a Buchi Rotovapor. The term chromatography refers to flash chromatography performed using 230-400 mesh silica gel supplied by Terochem Laboratories in the solvent specified. All solvents were routinely distilled prior to use. All starting materials were used as received

from commercial sources except for the following: triethylamine (BDH) was distilled from CaH<sub>2</sub> and THF (BDH) was dried and distilled from sodium with benzophenone as an indicator.

## 3-Methylthio-4-carboethoxyindoline (12).

A cooled (0 °C) solution of oxindole 13 (500 mg, 1.99 mmol) and borontrifluoride etherate (0.50 mL, 3.95 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added dropwise to a suspension of lithium aluminum hydride (75 mg, 1.98 mmol) in THF (10 mL). The resulting solution was stirred at 0 °C for 1h, heated at reflux for 3.5 h, then cooled to RT and quenched by the careful addition of an aqueous solution of potassium sodium tartrate (10%, 50 mL) and ethyl acetate (50 mL). After stirring for 1h, the organic phase was separated and worked up as usual affording two compounds. The first to elute ( $R_f$ =0.25, 4:1 hexanes/ethyl acetate) was the title compound, the second ( $R_f$ =0.10, 4:1 hexanes/ethyl acetate) was determined to be 3-methylthio-4-carboethoxyindole (145 mg, 40%). Spectroscopic data for 12: cloudy yellow oil (200 mg, 42%).  $^1$ H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 1.35 (t, 3H, J = 8.0 Hz), 2.00 (s, 3H), 3.65 (d, 1H, J = 7.0 Hz), 3.70 (br, 1H), 3.89 (dd, 1H, J = 7.0, 1.0 Hz), 4.35 (m, 2H), 4.92 (d, 1H, J = 7.0 Hz), 6.80 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.11 (t, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.38 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz) ppm; EI-MS m/z (%): 237 ( $M^{\bullet+}$ , 2.5), 189 (39.7), 144 (100), 116 (49.6); HRMS for  $C_{12}$ H<sub>15</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>S Calcd: 237.08235; Found: 237.08185.

#### Ethyl indole-4-carboxylate (14).

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 1.50 (t, 3H, J = 8.0 Hz), 4.40 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.15 - 7.50 (m, 5H), 8.80 (br, 1H); mp: 72-73 °C (lit.<sup>24</sup> 73-74 °C).

Hydroxy-acids 20, 30 and lactone 37 were prepared according to the general procedure of Seebach. <sup>17</sup> The preparation of lactone 37 is representative:

## 1-Hydroxy-benzosuberane-8-carboxylic acid lactone (37).

A solution of *n*-BuLi (27.2 mL, 2.5 M in hexanes, 68.0 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of benzosuberanol **24** (5.00 g, 30.9 mmol) and *N*,*N*,*N'N'*-tetramethylethylenediamine (7.90 g, 67.9 mmol) in pentane (500 mL). The resulting cloudy yellow reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 12 h, cooled to -78 °C, and treated with an excess of solid carbon dioxide. The reaction mixture was slowly allowed to warm to RT, acidified with concentrated hydrochloric acid, and the aqueous phase extracted with diethyl ether (5 x 100 mL). The combined organic extracts were concentrated, and the title compound was isolated as an off-white solid. Recrystallization from diethyl ether/hexanes provided the title compound as white plates (4.35 g, 75%); **mp**: 75.0 °C; <sup>1</sup>**H-NMR** (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 1.20 - 1.50 (m, 2H), 1.60 - 1.90 (m, 1H), 2.00 - 2.30 (m, 2H), 2.40 - 2.60 (m, 1H), 2.70 - 3.00 (m, 2H), 5.20 (dd, 1H, J = 12.0, 3.6 Hz), 7.30 - 7.40 (m, 2H), 7.80 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 27.5, 27.7, 32.9, 35.16, 82.6, 122.9, 125.6, 129.0, 133.4, 138.2, 150.7, 170.8 ppm; **IR** (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 1762 cm<sup>-1</sup>; **EI-MS** m/z (%): 188 (M<sup>o+</sup>, 100), 159 (99.3), 131 (82.2); **HRMS** for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 188.08373; Found: 188.08726; **CA** for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Calcd: C (76.57), H (6.43); Found: C (76.67), H (6.45).

#### 1-Indanol-7-carboxylic acid (30).

The crude material (thick red oil) could be crystallized as a white solid (3.93 g, 65%) by the addition of diethyl ether and hexanes; **mp**: 128 °C (lit.<sup>21</sup> 128.0-128.5 °C) <sup>1</sup>**H-NMR** (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 2.10 - 2.30 (m, 1H), 2.40 - 2.60 (m, 1H), 2.80 - 3.00 (m, 1H), 3.10 - 3.30 (m, 1H), 5.64 (dd, 1H, J = 8.0, 4.0 Hz), 7.37 (t, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.51 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.96 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz) ppm.

### 1-Hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-8-carboxylic acid (20):

The title compound was isolated as a white crystalline solid after recrystallization from diethyl ether and hexanes; **mp**: 125 °C (lit.<sup>17</sup>:115-118 °C, lit.<sup>21</sup> 133-134 °C); <sup>1</sup>**H-NMR** (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 200 MHz)  $\delta$ : 1.60 - 1.80 (m, 2H), 1.90 - 2.20 (m, 2H), 2.60 - 3.00 (m, 2H), 5.05 (t, 1H, J = 5.0 Hz), 7.10 - 7.30 (m, 2H), 7.75 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 Hz) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$ : 16.6, 29.7, 30.0, 63.3, 126.9, 129.1, 129.2, 134.6, 138.1, 139.3, 172.2 ppm; **EI-MS** m/z (%): 192 (8.4, M<sup>o+</sup>), 174 (67.1), 146 (54.3), 118 (100); **HRMS** for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>12</sub>O<sub>3</sub>; Calc: 192.07864; Found: 192.07682.

Diols 21, 25 and 29 were prepared following the same general procedure. The procedure given for diol 25 is representative.

#### 1-Hydroxy-9-hydroxymethylbenzosuberane (25).

A solution of lactone **39** (4.00 g, 21.3 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was added dropwise to a suspension of lithium aluminum hydride (0.80 g, 21.1 mmol) in THF (100 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at RT for 1 h, at reflux for 2 h, cooled to RT, and then was poured *carefully* into an aqueous solution of potassium sodium tartrate (10%, 100 mL). Ethyl acetate (150 mL) was then added and the mixture was stirred for 10 h. Separation of the organic phase and usual work up afforded the title compound as a thick, clear oil that slowly solidified on standing. Recrystallization from diethyl ether/hexanes afforded diol **25** as a white crystalline solid (3.62 g, 89%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 1.40 - 1.50 (m, 1H), 1.55 - 1.90 (m, 3H), 2.00 - 2.10 (m, 2H), 2.60 - 2.80 (m, 1H), 3.10 - 3.30 (m, 1H), 4.40 (d, 1H, J = 12.5 Hz), 4.70 (d, 1H, J = 12.5 Hz), 5.25 (dd, 1H, J = 12.0, 1.0 Hz), 7.00 - 7.15 (m, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 24.5, 27.6, 33.4, 35.4, 65.0, 69.8, 127.5, 127.9, 131.0, 138.1, 141.7, 143.6 ppm; **IR** (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 3596, 3392 cm<sup>-1</sup>; **CA**: For C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: Calc. C (74.97), H (8.39); Found: C (74.72), H (8.61).

#### 1-Hydroxy-8-hydroxymethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene (21).

Hydroxy-acid 21 was reduced to diol 25 following the general procedure affording the title compound as a white solid (77%); mp: 74 °C;  $^{1}$ H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 1.70 - 2.20 (m, 4H), 2.70 - 3.00 (m, 1H), 3.58 (br, 2H), 4.42 (d, 1H, J = 12.2 Hz), 4.90 (d, 1H, J = 12.2 Hz), 5.00 (t, 1H, J = 4.0 Hz), 7.05 - 7.25 (m, 3H) ppm;  $^{13}$ C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  17.2, 30.0, 31.6, 36.7, 64.2, 64.2, 127.8, 127.9, 129.8, 136.9, 138.0, 139.9 ppm; IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 3597, 3402 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 1-Hydroxy-7-hydroxymethylindane (29).

Hydroxy acid 30 was reduced to diol 29 following the general procedure affording the title compound as a clear oil following column chromatography (2:1 hexanes/ethyl acetate) (70%). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (200 MHz,

CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 1.90 - 2.10 (m, 1H), 2.30 - 2.50 (m, 1H), 2.70 - 2.90 (m, 1H), 3.05 - 3.25 (m, 1H), 4.00 (br, 2H), 4.46 (d, 1H, J = 12.2 Hz), 4.79 (d, 1H, J = 12.2 Hz), 5.31 (dd, 1H, J = 7.0, 3.5 Hz), 7.00 - 7.10 (m, 1H), 7.12 - 7.30 (m, 2H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 30.4, 34.0, 64.0, 75.1, 124.7, 126.4, 128.7, 137.4, 143.4, 144.5 ppm; **IR** (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 3590, 3382 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

## General Procedure for the preparation of 1-Alkylthio-8-hydroxymethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene (22).

Zinc(II) iodide (180 mg, 0.56 mmol) was added in one portion to a solution of diol 9 (100 mg, 0.56 mmol) and one equivalent of the appropriate thiol in methylene chloride (20 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at RT for 2 h, after which time it was poured into water (50 mL) and extracted with methylene chloride (20 mL). The combined organic extract were subjected to standard work up. The resulting cloudy oil was chromatographed (3:1 hexanes/ethyl acetate) affording the final product.

1-Benzylthio-8-hydroxymethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene (22a) (pale yellow oil):  ${}^{1}$ H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 1.70 - 1.90 (m, 2H), 2.10 - 2.45 (m, 2H), 2.65 - 2.92 (m, 2H), 3.75 (d, 1H, J = 13.3 Hz), 3.85 (d, 1H, J = 13.3 Hz), 4.20 - 4.45 (m, 3H), 4.49 (d, 1H, J = 12.4 Hz), 6.95 - 7.45 (m, 8H) ppm;  ${}^{13}$ C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 17.5, 27.2, 29.3, 36.7, 39.7, 62.3, 127.2, 127.3, 127.5, 128.7, 128.9, 129.1, 133.4, 137.6, 137.6, 139.7 ppm; IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 3599 cm<sup>-1</sup>; CI-MS m/z (%): 285 [(M<sup>\*+</sup>+1), 2.8].

1-p-Methoxybenzylthio-8-hydroxymethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene (22b) (white solid); mp: 75 °C;  $^{1}$ H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 1.70 - 1.95 (m, 2H), 2.05 - 2.45 (m, 2H), 2.70 - 3.00 (m, 3H), 3.70 (d, 1H, J = 13.2 Hz), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.80 (d, 1H, J = 13.2 Hz), 4.15 - 4.45 (m, 2H), 4.53 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 6.85 (d, 1H, J = 7.0 Hz), 6.95 - 7.20 (m, 3H), 7.28 (d, 2H, J = 7.0 Hz) ppm;  $^{13}$ C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 17.4, 27.2, 29.3, 36.0, 39.7, 55.2, 62.2, 113.9, 127.2, 127.4, 129.0, 129.4, 130.0, 133.6, 137.5, 139.7, 158.6 ppm; IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 3328 cm<sup>-1</sup>; CA: For C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>22</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>; Calc: C (72.58), H (7.05); Found: C (72.62), Found (7.17).

1-t-Butylthio-8-hydroxymethyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene (22c) (white solid); mp: 140 °C; 1H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 1.55 (s, 9H), 1.80 - 2.00 (m, 1H), 2.00 - 2.10 (m, 1H), 2.25 - 2.40 (m, 1H), 2.45 - 2.60 (m, 1H), 2.80 - 3.00 (m, 2H), 3.40 (d, 1H, J = 8.6 Hz), 4.55 - 4.80 (m, 2H), 5.00 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 7.00 - 7.35 (m, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 16.9, 29.1, 29.7, 31.9, 39.2, 45.4, 62.9, 127.3, 127.9, 129.5, 134.7, 137.8, 139.2 ppm; IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 3341 cm<sup>-1</sup>; CI-MS m/z (%): 251 [(M<sup>o+</sup>+1), (1.8)].

#### Tricyclic Sulfide 23.

To a solution of hydroxy-sulfide **22b** (100 mg, 0.32 mmol) in methylene chloride (20 mL) was added p-toluenesulfonic acid (90.8 mg, 0.48 mol) and sodium iodide (72 mg, 0.48 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at RT for 10 h, poured into water (50 mL) and extracted with methylene chloride (4 x 20 mL). The combined organic extracts were worked up in the usual manner, and the dark oily residue chromatographed (20:1 hexanes/ethyl acetate). The title compound was isolated as a slightly green oil (45 mg, 80%): <sup>1</sup>H-NMR

(200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 1.48 - 1.70 (m, 1H), 1.70 - 1.95 (m, 1H), 2.05 - 2.22 (m 1H), 2.25 - 2.40 (m, 1H), 2.65 - 2.95 (m, 2H), 3.85 (d, 1H, J = 16.0 Hz), 4.32 (dd, 1H, J = 16.0, 1.0 Hz), 4.50 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 6.90 - 7.20 (m, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 23.4, 26.2, 29.9, 26.4, 48.8, 121.4, 126.1, 127.0, 134.6, 140.7, 141.6 ppm; **EI-MS** m/z (%): 176 (M<sup>•+</sup>, 61.8), 148 (100); **HRMS** for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>12</sub>S Calc: 176.06597; Found: 176.06317.

#### Tricyclic Sulfone 17

A mixture of sulfide 23 (140 mg, 0.80 mmol) and sodium periodate (375 mg, 1.75 mmol) in acetonitrile (1 mL), carbon tetrachloride (1 mL) and water (1.5 mL) was stirred until it became homogenous. A catalytic amount of ruthenium(III) chloride hydrate was added and the resulting black reaction mixture stirred at RT for 3 h, after which time it was poured into diethyl ether (50 mL). The organic phase was separated and subjected to usual work up, affording sulfone 17 as a pale yellow solid (121 mg, 73%); mp: 130 °C;  $^{1}$ H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 1.70 - 1.92 (m, 2H), 2.05 - 2.23 (m, 1H), 2.25 - 2.45 (m, 1H), 2.60 - 2.80 (m, 1H), 2.82 - 2.96 (m, 1H), 4.09 (d, 1H, J = 15.4 Hz), 4.15 (dd, 1H, J = 10.2, 1.0 Hz), 4.27 (d, 1H, J = 15.4 Hz), 7.00 - 7.20 (m, 2H), 7.30 - 7.50 (m, 1H) ppm;  $^{13}$ C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 19.4, 21.2, 26.1, 55.6, 61.5, 123.0, 128.2, 128.4, 130.2, 131.4, 136.0 ppm; IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 1317, 1133 cm $^{-1}$ ; CI-MS m/z (%): 209 ((M $^{\bullet+}+1$ ), 100); HRMS for C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>12</sub> (M $^{\bullet+}$ -SO<sub>2</sub>) Calc: 144.09390; Found: 144.09357.

## 1-Hydroxy-9-acetoxymethyl-benzosuberane (26).

A solution of acetic anhydride (265 mg, 2.60 mmol) in methylene chloride (5 mL) was added dropwise to a cooled (0°C) solution of diol **25** (500 mg, 2.60 mmol), triethylamine (312 mg, 3.09 mmol) and 4-*N*,*N*-dimethylaminopyridine (50 mg) in methylene chloride (30 mL). The resulting solution was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min, then poured into water (50 mL). Separation of the organic phase and usual workup afforded a clear oil. Chromatography (10:1 hexanes/ethyl acetate) afforded the title compound **26** as a clear oil (470 mg, 77%); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 1.30 - 1.50 (m, 1H), 1.53 - 1.75 (m, 2H), 1.80 - 2.00 (m, 2H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 2.10 - 2.30 (m, 2H), 2.65 (dd, 1H, J = 16.0, 8.0 Hz), 3.35 (dt, 1H, J = 8.0, 1.0 Hz), 5.13 (d, 1H, J = 14.0 Hz), 5.19 (dd, 1H, J = 14.0 Hz), 5.15 (dd, J = 8.0, 2.5 Hz), 7.00 - 7.20 (m, 3H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 21.0, 24.6, 28.2, 32.8, 35.8, 65.5, 69.1, 127.6, 128.6, 131.6, 133.0, 142.1, 144.3, 170.6 ppm; **IR** (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 3200, 1710 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Tricyclic Sulfide 28

To a solution of mono-acetate **26** (200 mg, 0.85 mmol) in methylene chloride (20 mL) was added sequentially 4-methoxy- $\alpha$ -toluenethiol (158 mg, 1.02 mmol) and zinc(II) iodide (327 mg, 1.02 mmol). The resulting cloudy solution was stirred at RT for 10 h, after which time it was subjected to normal workup. Chromatography with hexanes afforded sulfide **28** as a yellow oil (80 mg, 50%); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (500 MHz,CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 1.10 - 1.30 (m, 1H), 1.60 - 1.80 (m, 2H), 2.00 - 2.20 (m, 3H), 2.70 - 2.90 (m, 2H), 4.21 (d, 1H, J = 12.5 Hz), 4.38 (dd, 1H, J = 12.5, 3.0 Hz), 4.74 (dd, 1H, J = 11.0, 3.0 Hz), 6.90 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.00 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.20 (t, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 27.6, 31.3, 36.7, 37.6, 39.2, 55.0, 122.6, 126.9, 127.2, 139.8, 140.5, 144.3 ppm; EI-MS m/z (%): 190 (M<sup>++</sup>, 100); HRMS for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>14</sub>S Calcd: 190.08162; Found: 190.08107.

## Tricyclic Sulfone 18

Sulfone 18 was prepared from sulfide 28 (75 mg, 0.39 mmol) in a manner identical to that described for sulfone 17. The title compound was isolated as a white solid (76 mg, 87%); mp: 135 °C; <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 1.35 - 1.45 (m, 1H), 1.50 - 1.61 (m, 1H), 1.68 - 1.80 (m, 1H), 1.95 - 2.05 (m, 1H), 2.15 - 2.25 (m, 1H), 2.38 - 2.48 (m, 1H), 2.70 - 2.85 (m, 1H), 4.27 (dd, 1H, J = 12.0, 2.0 Hz), 4.32 (d, 1H, J = 15.9 Hz), 4.36 (d, 1H, J = 15.9 Hz), 7.06 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.09 (d, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.18 (t, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 27.4, 27.8, 29.6, 35.9, 56.3, 67.0, 123.3, 128.5, 129.0, 129.2, 136.7, 141.6 ppm; IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): 1312, 1118 cm<sup>-1</sup>; CI-MS m/z (%): 223 ((M\*+1) 100); HRMS for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>14</sub> (M\*+-SO<sub>2</sub>) Calcd: 158.10955; Found: 158.11004.

## General procedure for the thermolysis of sulfones and trapping with DMAD.

One equivalent of sulfone 17 or 18 and five equivalents of DMAD were combined in a small round bottom flask, left open to the air, and heated with a sand bath to 250 - 280 °C for 15 minutes (sulfone 17) or at 300 - 320 °C for 20 minutes (sulfone 18). The reaction mixtures were then cooled to room temperature, and applied to a column of silica gel. Elution with 5:1 hexanes/ethyl acetate afforded compounds 42 and 44 that were identical to those prepared via another route. <sup>23</sup>

#### 1-(p-Methoxybenzylthio)-4-hydroxymethyl-indane (31).

The title compound was prepared in a manner identical to that described for the preparation of **22b**. In this way, diol **29** (250 mg, 1.52 mmol) was converted into hydroxy sulfide **31** (375 mg, 82%), which was isolated as a white solid after purification via column chromatography (5:1 hexanes/ethyl acetate); **mp**: 130 °C; **1H-NMR** (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 2.20 - 2.40 (m, 2H), 2.70 - 2.90 (m, 2H), 3.05 - 3.25 (m, 1H), 3.70 (d, 1H, J = 12.5 Hz), 3.72 (d, 1H, J = 12.5 Hz), 3.78 (s, 3H), 4.30 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.50 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz), 4.63 (dd, 1H, J = 12.0, 2.0 Hz), 6.83 (d, 2H, J = 7.5 Hz), 7.10 - 7.30 (m, 5H) ppm.; **CA** Calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>SO<sub>2</sub>: C (71.97), H (6.72); Found: C (71.80), H (6.82).

#### Lactone 34

Isolated as a white solid, **mp**: 130 °C (lit.<sup>17</sup> 131.4 °C); <sup>1</sup>**H-NMR** (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 1.20 -1.50 (m, 1H), 1.80 - 2.00 (m, 1H), 2.10 - 2.30 (m, 1H), 2.40 - 2.60 (m, 1H), 2.70 - 2.80 (m, 1H), 3.00 - 3.15 (m, 1H), 5.20 (dd, J = 12.5, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 7.30 - 7.50 (m, 2H), 7.65 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H) ppm.

## Tricyclic Ether 39

Obtained as a clear oil;  ${}^{1}$ H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 1.30 -1.80 (m, 4H), 2.00 - 2.20 (m, 2H), 2.70 - 2.90 (m, 2H), 5.05 - 5.20 (m, 3H), 7.10 - 7.30 (m, 3H) ppm;  ${}^{13}$ C-NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 27.3, 28.2, 35.6, 36.2, 73.1, 86.0, 118.4, 127.2, 127.4, 137.3, 138.6, 142.2 ppm; EI-MS m/z (%): 174 (M $^{\bullet+}$ , 100), 173 (76), 145 (85), 131 (49), 104 (36); HRMS for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O Calcd: 174.10447; Found: 174.10325.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. We are grateful to Mr. Darryl Brousmiche for providing some of the starting materials used in this study.

#### References and Notes

- (1) Cava, M. P.; Nappier, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 500.
- (2) Charlton, J. L.; Alauddin, M. M. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 2873.
- (3) Martin, N.; Seoane, C.; Hanack, H. Org. Prep. Proc. Int. 1991, 23, 237.
- (4) Crew, A. P. A.; Jenkins, G.; Storr, R. C.; Yelland, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 1491.
- (5) Chaloner, L. M.; Crew, A. P. A.; Storr, R. C.; Yelland, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 7609.
- (6) Chaloner, L. M.; Crew, A. P. A.; O'Neill, P. M.; Storr, R. C.; Yelland, M. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 8101.
- (7) Tome, A. C.; Cavaleiro, J. A. S.; Storr, R. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 6639.
- (8) Tome, A. C.; Cavaleiro, J. A. S.; Storr, R. C. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 1735.
- (9) White, L. A.; Storr, R. C. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 3117.
- (10) Chou, T.-S.; Chen, H.-C.; Tsai, C.-Y. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 2241.
- (11) White, L. A.; O'Neill, P. M.; Park, B. K.; Storr, R. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 5983.
- (12) Tso, H.-H.; Chandrasekharam, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 4189.
- (13) Pindur, U.; Erfanian-Abdoust, H. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 1681.
- (14) Haber, M.; Pindur, U. Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 1925.
- (15) Cardwell, K.; Hewitt, B.; Ladlow, M.; Magnus, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 2242.
- (16) We have invoked the same type of elimination to describe the formation of several products resulting from the metal hydride mediated reduction of 3-Alkylthio-2-oxindoles. Connolly, T.J.; Durst, T. Can. J. Chem. 1997, 75, 536; .Can. J. Chem. 1997, 75, 542.
- (17) Meyer, N.; Seebach, D. Chem. Ber. 1980, 113, 1304.
- (18) Gao, Y.; Sharpless, K. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 7538.
- (19) Clark, M.; Crammer, R. D., III; van Opdensch, N. J. Computational Chem. 1989, 10, 982.
- (20) Rapoport, H.; Pasky, J. Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 3788.
- (21) Panetta, C. A.; Dixit, A. S. Synthesis 1981, 59.
- (22) Connolly, T.J. Unpublished results.
- (23) See accompanying paper.
- (24) Ponticello, G. S.; Baldwin, J. J. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 4003.

(Received in USA 4 March 1997; revised 10 September 1997; accepted 11 September 1997)